(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY) 1 BUSINESS HOLT, RHOADES AND HOLLYWOOD A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION DIVISION ATTORNEYS AT LAW 2 1010 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1712 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 TELEPHONE (714) 238-1712 3 ROBERT D. ZUMWAL CLERK. SAN DIEGO CO. 4 Defendants and CALIFORNIA Cross-Complainant, CINEMATRONICS, INC 5 Attorneys for_ 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO PAUL SAMUEL SHEPHERD, 11 Plaintiff, NO. 384590 12 CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR v. DAMAGES AND RECESSION (Conversion, Breach of DENNIS PARTEE, JAMES PIERCE, Fiduciary Duty and GARY GARRISON and CINEMATRONICS,) 14 INC., a California corporation, and Breach of Contract) DOES I through V, inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 17 CINEMATRONICS, INC., a California corporation, 18 Cross-Complainant, 19 20 PAUL SAMUEL SHEPHERD, and DOES I 21 through X, inclusive, 22 Cross-Defendants. 23 24 Comes now cross-complainant, CINEMATRONICS, INC., a California corporation, and for a cause of action against the cross-defendants, and each of them, complains and alleges as 26 w 27 follows:

28

HOLT, RHOADES AND HOLLYWOOD
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1010 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1712
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101
PHONE 228-1712

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

CONVERSION

I

Cross-complainant, CINEMATRONICS, INC., is informed and believes and thereon alleges that cross-defendant, PAUL SAMUEL SHEPHERD, an individual is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a resident of San Diego County, California.

II

Cross-complainant is and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of California with its principal place of business in San Diego County California.

III

Cross-complainant is ignorant of the true names and capacities of cross-defendants sued herein as DOES I through X, inclusive. The cross-complainant therefore sues said cross-defendants by such fictitious names. The cross-complainant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the cross-defendants herein designated as a DOE is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to and caused injuries and damages proximately thereby as hereinafter alleged.

IV

Cross-defendant, PAUL SAMUEL SHEPHERD, was at the time of all breaches hereinafter alleged a duly appointed officer of cross-complaining corporation occupying the office of Treasurer. Cross-defendant had qualified for and had accepted his offices and, had entered on and acted in such capacities and therefore

4

6

7

13

14

18

19

20

26

28

owes, and at all relevant times herein mentioned did owe, a fiduciary duty to cross-complainant.

This action is not subject to the provisions of sections 1812.10 and 2984.4 of the Civil Code.

At all times mentioned herein, and in particular on or about 8 April, 1975 to August, 1975, cross-complainant was, and still is, the owner and was, and still is entitled to the possession of the 10 | following personal property, to wit: one logic board, two video Il units, one coin box, one power supply unit, one complete video game unit and other personal property.

VII

During the months of April, 1975 to August, 1975 the above-15 mentioned property had a value which is uncertain at this time. Cross-complainant will amend this Cross-Complaint and will provide the exact amount when said amount becomes known to crosscomplainant.

VIII

Cross-complainant is informed and believes and thereon 21 alleges that during the months of April, 1975 to August, 1975, cross-defendant, SHEPHERD, took the above-mentioned personal property from the possession of cross-complainant and converted the same to his own use. As a proximate result of said conversion, cross-complainant has been damaged in a sum uncertain at this time. Cross-complainant will amend the Cross-Complaint and provide the exact amount when said amount becomes known to cross-complainant.

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

11

12

13

14 15

HOLT. RHOADES AND HOLLYWOOD

16

17

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

Between the time of cross-defendant's conversion of the above-mentioned personal property to his own personal use and the filing of this Cross-Complaint, cross-complainant has expended time and money in pursuit of the converted property, all to cross-complainant's further damage in the sum of \$2,000.00.

The acts and conduct of cross-defendant as herein alleged were oppressive and malicious in that cross-defendant knew the above-referred to property was the property of cross-complainant and in that cross-defendant attempted to conceal the removal of said property. Cross-complainant therefore seeks exemplary and punitive damages in the sum of \$25,000.00.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

Cross-complainant hereby realleges Paragraphs I through IX, inclusive of the First Cause of Action and incorporates them herein as fully set forth.

II

Cross-complainant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that during the months of April, 1975 to August, 1975 cross-defendant breached his fiduciary duty to cross-complainant by converting corporate property to his own use.

III

Cross-complainant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that cross-defendant further breached his fiduciary duty to cross-complainant by assembling much of the aforementioned

2 3

5

6

7

11

12

17

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

27

28

An implied condition of the said oral contract was that cross-defendant would at all times during his employment for cross-complainant, owe cross-complainant a fiduciary duty and act towards cross-complainant in a loyal and good faith manner.

During the months of April, 1975 to August, 1975 crosscomplainant in reliance upon said oral contract, paid crossdefendant a salary of \$300 per week for 18 weeks, for a total outlay of \$5,400.00 to cross-defendant.

Cross-complainant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that during the months of April, 1975 to August, 1975, cross-defendant breached and was in breach of the provisions of said oral contract in that cross-defendant violated his fiduciary duty, and duty of good faith and loyalty by converting the corporate property of cross-complainant to his own use and by entering into direct competition with cross-complainant.

VI

Due to the aforementioned breaches of the above-mentioned oral contract, cross-complainant seeks recission of the aforementioned contract and restitution of \$5,400.00 , which represents the sums cross-complainant had paid to cross-defendant in salary during the occurrence of the aforementioned breaches.

WHEREFORE, cross-complainant prays for judgment against cross-defendants, and each of them, as follows:

FOR FIRST AND SECOND CAUSES OF ACTION:

1. For the value of the property converted or,

HOLT, RHOADES AND HOLLYWOOD
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1010 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1712
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101
PHONE 228-1712

property into the form of marketable video game units and then by marketing said units in direct competition with cross-complainant.

IV

As a proximate result of the above-mentioned breaches of fiduciary duty, cross-complainant has been injured in a sum which is uncertain at this time. Cross-complainant will amend this Cross-Complaint to provide the exact amount when the exact amount becomes known to cross-complainant.

V

The acts and conduct of cross-defendant as herein alleged were oppressive, fraudulent and malicious in that cross-defendant knew the above-mentioned property was the property of cross-complainant, in that cross-defendant knowingly attempted to conceal the removal of said property, and in that cross-defendant knowingly attempted to conceal his direct competition with cross-complainant. Cross-complainant therefore seeks punitive and exemplary damages in the sum of \$25,000.00.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF CONTRACT

I

Cross-complainant hereby realleges Paragraphs I through
IX, inclusive, of the First Cause of Action and Paragraphs XII
and XIII of the Second Cause of Action and incorporates them herein
as though fully set forth.

II

On or about April, 1975 cross-defendant, SHEPHERD, entered into an oral employment contract with defendant, JAMES PIERCE. Said contract was made for the benefit of cross-complainant.

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

if appropriate, for the value of the loss of the use of said property in a sum to be averred when said sum becomes known to cross-complainant;

- 2. For interest at seven percent on the foregoing sum pursuant to Civil Code, Section 3336 from and after August, 1975;
- 3. For damages for time and money expended in pursuit of the converted property in the sum of \$2,000.00;
- 4. For punitive and exemplary damages in the sum of \$25,000.00;
 - 5. For costs of suit; and
- 6. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

FOR THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:

- 1. For recission of the oral contract and restitution to 15 cross-complainant of \$5,400.00;
 - 2. For costs of suit; and
 - 3. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

DATED: October 18, 1976 HOLT, RHOADES AND HOLLYWOOD

Attorneys for Cross-Complainant,

CINEMATRONICS, INC.

CASE NUMBER: 384590	FILED
ATTORNEY:	DIAISION
HOLT, RHOADES and HOLLYWOOD	Oct 19 2/27
1010 Second Ave., Suite 1712	ROBERT D. ZI CLERK, SAN D CALIFOR
San Diego, California 92101	(
DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL (C.C.	.P. 1013a and 2015.5)
I, the undersigned, say: I am over 18 years of age,	employed in (Resident/Employed)
the County ofSan Diego, Ca	있는 사람들은 경에 살아들은 사람들이 가는 사람들은 생생님들이 되는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이다.
mentioned mailing occurred, and not a party to the subject	cause. My business (Business Residence)
address is 1010 Second Ave., Suite 1712, S	
(No., Street) I served the CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR DA	문제 회원 열심을 하고 있는 것이 나는 그 요요. 중 전에 다른 사람들은 사람들은 그리고 있다. 그리고 있는 그리고 있다.
(Conversion, Breach of Fiduciary Duty and	Breach of Contract)
Mr. James E. Clark A Attorney at Law 3960 Park Blvd. San Diego, CA 92103	
Each envelope was then sealed and with the postage	thereon fully prepaid deposited in the
그 사람들이 하고 있는데 그는 그는 그를 가장 하는데 하는데 하는데 하지만 하는데 하는데 하는데 사람들이 되었다. 그는데 그를 다 하는데	California, on
October 18 , 19 76	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing	ng is true and correct.
Executed onOctober_18, 19.7.6	보이지 생각 가장이 그렇게 되는 것이 그렇게 하지 않는 것이 없다.
California.	
Juli	(Signature)
Julée Ti	ffany

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

VERIFICATION BY PARTY (446, 2015.5 C. C. P.) 1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF 2 I am the cross-complainant 3 4 in the above entitled action; I have read the foregoing Cross-Complaint For Damages and 5 Recission (Conversion, Breach of Fiduciary Duty and Breach of Contract) 6 and know the contents thereof; and I certify that the same is true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein stated upon my information or belief, and as to those matters I believe it to be true. 8 9 10 I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct. 11 Executed on October 14, 1976 San Diego . California 12 13 Signature 14 PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL (1013a, 2015.5 C. C. P.) STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF 15 I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county aforciaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is: 16 17 1010 Second Ave., Suite 1712, San Diego, CA 18 October 18 1976 I served the within Cross-Complaint 19 20 on the parties in said action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the 21 United States mail at San Diego, CA addressed as follows: 22 Mr. James E. Clark Attorney at Law 23 3960 Park Boulevard San Diego, CA 92103 24 25 26 27 I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct. October18, 1976 28 San Diego

California

Executed on_

(date)